James E. Cecchi
Caroline F. Bartlett
Zachary S. Bower
CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, OLSTEIN,
BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.
5 Beccker Farm Road
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
(973) 994-1700

Interim Class Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE RIDDELL CONCUSSION REDUCTION LITIGATION	Civil Action No. 13-7585 (JBS-JS)
	DECLARATION OF JAMES E. CECCHI

James E. Cecchi, of full age, declares under penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am an attorney-at-law admitted to practice and in good standing in the State of New Jersey and a member of Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, Brody & Agnello, P.C. ("Carella Byrne"), Interim Class Counsel for Plaintiffs in the above matter.
- 2. I submit this declaration in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude the Report and Opinion Testimony of Robert Cantu.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 are true and accurate copies of relevant portions of the January 13, 2017 deposition transcript of Robert Cantu.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated: March 10, 2017	/s/ James E. Cecchi
	JAMES E. CECCHI

```
Page 1
 1
 2
    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
       FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 5
     IN RE RIDDELL CONCUSSION Civil Action No.
                                      1:13-cv-7585 (JBS/JS)
 7
    REDUCTION LITIGATION
10
11
12
        *** CONFIDENTIAL ***
13
             DEPOSITION OF ROBERT CANTU
14
                  Naples, Florida
15
             Friday, January 13, 2017
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
    Reported by:
23
    DONALD R. DePEW, RPR, CRR, FPR
<sup>24</sup> JOB NO. 117671
25
```

Page 50 Page 51 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 player chooses have any effect on those aspects, 2 A. Yes. 3 those factors? 3 Q. And do you consider it to be better 4 A. I don't believe so. 4 than that in other manufacturers helmets at 5 Q. Well, first of all, what is an energy 5 reducing the risk of concussion? 6 attenuation system inside a football helmet? 6 A. No, I don't know that it's better in 7 A. A football helmet has a hard outer 7 reducing concussion. 8 shell, usually polycarbonate, that its purpose 8 Q. You don't know one way or another? 9 is to distribute force of what hits it over a 9 A. I think that's fair to say. 10 greater area inside the polycarbonate. 10 I don't know that it's any better and 11 And that force is distributed over 11 I certainly don't know that it's worse. 12 what is referred to as the inner liner or energy 12 Q. Are you aware of this delineation 13 attenuating part of the helmet. And that's 13 between a modern football helmet and a 14 usually a variety of things, it could be air 14 traditional football helmet? 15 pockets, it could be gel, it could be foams. 15 A. Generally speaking, yes. 16 And that energy attenuation system is what comes 16 Q. And does that distinction relate 17 in contact with the scalp of the athlete. 17 primarily to the energy attenuation systems in 18 Q. And are the energy attenuation systems 18 the football helmets? 19 inside football helmets different from 19 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to the form. 20 manufacture to manufacture? 20 A. Well, first of all I would have to 21 A. Yes. 21 have defined for me what a traditional helmet 22 Q. And is the energy attenuation system 22 23 in the Riddell Revolution series of helmets 23 But most people are referring to the 24 different than that in other manufacturers 24 modern helmets as being the ones that have come 25 helmets? 25 on board with the Revolution and subsequently, Page 52 Page 53 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 which are generally speaking a larger helmet and 2 rather radically different than the other 3 greater energy attenuating thickness inside the 3 helmets on the market. 4 helmet, especially in certain locations. Q. But that's certainly much more like 5 Q. And so is it safe to say that the 5 the modern football helmet than it would be like Riddell Revolution helmet was the first of the a traditional football helmet, is that fair to modern football helmets with respect to energy 7 sav? attenuation systems? 8 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to form. 9 A. I think it's fair to say it would be A. It's certainly different, yes. 10 the first of quote/unquote the modern helmet. 10 Q. And would you consider the Riddell 11 And if you mean thicker energy attenuation 11 VSR4 to be a traditional football helmet? 12 systems, yes. 12 A. Well, there are publications where 13 They're not necessarily the same kind 13 that one was compared to the Revolution and in 14 in the different other helmets. 14 the publication they referred to it as a 15 Q. And that change in energy attenuation 15 traditional. 16 system, which may vary somewhat from helmet 16 If we're saying that the modern helmet 17 manufacturer to helmet manufacturer, are they 17 came into being with the Revolution, then it's 18 the only types of helmets on the market, 18 okay by me to say that the VSR4 is traditional. 19 football helmets on the market today? 19 The VSR4 is far superior to helmets 20 A. No. There are -- there is a helmet on 20 before the NOCSAE standard came into being. 21 the market that is quite dramatically different 21 dramatically superior. 22 from the other helmets, utilizing a very 22 And so by traditional, that's where I 23 light -- I believe it's a polycarbonate outer 23 wanted somebody to put a year out there. If we 24 shell and a much thicker inner energy 24 talk about since 1980 plus as being traditional, 25 attenuating system, the Simpson helmet. It's 25 then the VSR4 I guess would fall into that

Page 58 Page 59 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 been very much in line with what Dr. McGuine 2 opinion that a Riddell Revolution helmet does 3 found and what Christy Collins found when they 3 not provide a greater protection against the 4 did their studies looking at concussion 4 risk of concussion than a VSR4 helmet? 5 incidents and type of helmet, whether that 5 A. It's my opinion today as I sit here 6 helmet be a different brand, or whether it be 6 that I've not seen evidence that convinces me 7 older or newer, or whether that helmet be 7 that one helmet brand or type provides superior 8 reconditioned or not. 8 protection to another. And that's based 9 9 And both the McGuine study and the primarily on the McGuine and Collins studies. 10 Christy Collins study did not find a difference 10 plus the experience of the recalled helmets that 11 in helmet brand. 11 NOCSAE tested. 12 Q. And that is your opinion as well? 12 Q. So if your grandson was going to play 13 A. It's my opinion that the information I 13 football today, would you be comfortable 14 have from the testing that NOCSAE has done and 14 providing him with a VSR4 helmet to play from the literature involving those two studies, 15 15 football in? 16 at the time that it was done the -- the McGuine 16 A. Well, first of all if he was under the 17 study was a very robust study, and subsequently 17 age of 14 I wouldn't let him play. 18 the Collins study is by far and away the biggest 18 And secondly, no, I'd have him in a 19 study involving thousands concussions and 19 new helmet. 20 millions of head impacts and they both came to 20 Q. And which new helmet would you choose 21 that conclusion. I believe that until I see 21 for him? 22 further evidence, that I believe what they've 22 A. There I don't have any knowledge that 23 concluded and published in peer reviewed 23 one is safer than another. 24 journals. 24 Q. So when you say a new helmet you're 25 Q. So as you sit here today it's your 25 talking about a modern football helmet? Page 60 Page 61 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 2 So the main reason I would want it to 3 Q. So you would choose for your child to 3 be in a new helmet is because I know that that 4 wear a modern football helmet or you grandson to 4 helmet has got all of its pieces in place and 5 wear a modern football helmet instead of a 5 the pieces are as good as there are. 6 traditional football helmet? 6 Q. But would another reason be that the A. If he was in high school I would want 7 modern football helmets have better energy 8 him to be in a new football helmet, yes. 8 attenuation systems than the traditional Q. And part of the reason that you would 9 football helmets? 10 want him in the new football helmet is because 10 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to form. 11 the modern football helmets have better energy 11 A. What I do know is that modern football 12 attenuation systems than the traditional 12 helmets test better on NOCSAE drop tests and 13 football helmets; is that correct? 13 they test better on pneumatic ram testing than 14 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to form. 14 older helmets. 15 A. I'd want him in a new helmet --15 But what I don't know is whether that 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 16 affords significant protection against 17 A. I'd want him in a new helmet because 17 concussion. The logic is that less should be

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

older helmets run the risk of having parts

of those helmets that were brought back to

padding -- pads were switched out in some

NOCSAE, it's rather incredible how even

straps that don't mean much.

switched out. The most common of which are chin

But in the process of looking at some

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helmets.

delivering it.

better. So testing to a lower level would

logically make sense that they might provide

some further protection. But the problem is

the sudden movement of the head. And that

delivering it, but the mass of the force

movement is more related to not just the force

that what's causing the concussion we believe is

Page 106 Page 107 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 Does it sometimes happen because your 2 A. Yes. 3 head -- a ball gets kicked into your head that 3 Q. So there you're making a choice with 4 you don't see coming? 4 respect to the type of helmet you're going to 5 Yes. 5 purchase based upon the position you're going to 6 But there are primary mechanisms in 6 play; is that correct? 7 our contact, collision sports that far and 7 A. That's correct. 8 exceed all the other mechanisms for concussion. 8 Q. Do you think that type of difference 9 Q. And you believe that that judgment 9 in helmetry should occur in football? 10 that you make about how those primary mechanisms 10 A. Well, it's being studied. 11 manifest themselves in a given sport is 11 The National Football League has given 12 important in making a judgment about what type 12 \$60 million to study technology, the majority of 13 of helmet to purchase to play that sport; is 13 it going to helmet technology. 14 that correct? 14 Part of that technology are sport --15 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to the form. 15 are position specific helmets. And the lineman 16 A. Not what type of helmet to play, but 16 would have a different helmet than the wide 17 just whether helmets should be used. 17 receiver, than the quarterback, than the running 18 For instance, in girls ice hockey and 18 back. And there is good theory behind why that 19 girls lacrosse almost all the concussions happen 19 may make a lot of sense. 20 because you get hit in the head with a stick or 20 But as yet, what those differences are 21 hit in the head with a ball, and those could be 21 going to be and whether they make any difference 22 eliminated by wearing helmets, most I believe. 22 has not been established. 23 Q. But, for example, in hockey, there is 23 Q. Your next bullet point, the third one 24 a variation in the type of helmet between a 24 says, "Impact tests performed in laboratories do 25 goalie and a non-goalie, correct? 25 not equate to clinical reductions in the Page 108 Page 109 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 1 Confidential - Robert Cantu 2 incidence of concussions on the playing field." 2 concussions on the playing field? 3 Do you see that? 3 MR. PANTAZIS: Objection. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Essentially, yes. 5 Q. Now when you say equate do you mean And what I'm basing it on are 6 that there is no relationship at all between 6 theoretical studies of Viano, even back to 7 tests performed in laboratories and clinical 7 Pellman and other theoretical studies that --8 reductions in the incidence of concussions on 8 for instance, the STAR rating system -- that has 9 the playing field? 9 not been found to equate with any difference of 1.0 A. I guess what I'm trying to say is that 10 concussion incidence when measured on the field. 11 all helmets that pass NOCSAE standards, there 11 Q. Does that mean that those studies 12 has been no shown difference in incidence of 12 conclusions are wrong? 13 concussion in that group. 13 MR. PANTAZIS: Object to form. 14 I'm sure that if we were to compare a 14 A. If the findings of McGuine and 15 helmet that would drastically fail NOCSAE 15 Christy Collins are correct, then one would 16 standards it probably would be a greater 16 think they're wrong. 17 incidence of concussion in that helmet, if not 17 The reality is concussion incidence is 18 worse, skull fracture and intracranial bleeding. 18 multifactorial and rarely are all the factors 19 But we don't have those on the market hopefully. 19 included. 20 Q. First of all what I'm just trying to 20 Q. What about impact tests performed on 21 determine, though, is, is that bullet point 21 the playing field during the play of football, 22 meant to say that there is no relationship 22 are there such tests? 23 between impact tests performed in laboratories 23 A. Yes. 24 today as you know of on football helmets and the 24 Q. Is impacts one of those tests? 25 clinical reductions in the incidence of 25 A. By impacts I was thinking of